

I Believe in Bugs Bunny Debate with Muhammad Al Assadi Part 8

Muhammad Al Assadi vs. Ali Sina

Part VI

Nine day after I asked the [question about the embryology](#) Mr. Al Assadi wrote to his friends who acts as the intermediary:

2005/12/31

Al Salaam aleikum, he sent me tons of questions, do you remember where is this question about Embrioies, and what is his agument, if not, please tell him to send what question he has from Qur'aan, I do not understand, do I have to go through his tons of info to dig what he questioned? Please ask him to state his question, to say: what about Embrioies, I would ask: ok, what about them?

Mr. Al Assadi:

We started this debate by you trying to prove my charges against Muhammad are wrong.

We touched several points and I kept showing that the Quran is wrong on many points. You introduced the verse 41:11 saying how could Muhammad have known the primary plasma of the universe was smoke like. I explained this he did not know and that is not what he intended when he wrote the word smoke in that verse. We scrutinized the verses 41:9 to 41:12 and saw everything Muhammad had said in those verses were bogus and unscientific. Then I explained the origin of those verses are ancient myths of the Mesopotamians. There Muhammad is rehashing the fairytales of the ancient people and is not saying anything scientific.

In the process of this debate we discovered several errors in the Quran. For example the verse 2:2 that says "[that book](#)" guides the righteous ones, (those who guard against evil) contain three errors:

Allah made a mistake to call his book "that book". He should have said "this book" unless we assume that this verse is not part of the Quran.

When it says there is no doubt in it, it is making a false statement because many people doubted it when it was written and many still do.

When it says it guides those who are righteous also shows it is a redundant book. However, you may get away with this by interpreting the mottaqeen as "those who are fearful"

Then we spoke of Allah's illogical behavior of sealing the hearts of the people, misleading them and then punishing them. We saw that the Quran even claims that if Allah wanted to guide people, it would be easy for him but he does not do it on purpose. These verses show Allah is not God but Satan. The job of God is guiding people and saving them and the job of Satan is to mislead them, trick them and make them err.

We also agreed that the Jesus, the Bible and the God that is worshiped by the Christians are not the same things Muslims say they believe. Although Muslims use the same names they mean entirely different things. At least this is one point we both agree.

Finally you asked me to point out the errors of the Quran so you can show there are no errors. The Quran contains many errors. I started with one of them and that is the development of the embryo. Instead of rehashing what others have said, I asked you to read the articles of AE, an Arab ex-Muslim doctor and also of Dr. Campbell. They show clearly that the development of the embryo as described in the Quran is wrong. I gave you several links to read and familiarize yourself with the discussion on this subject. This was for your own benefit. You don't have to read them. But if you don't you'll repeat the same mistakes that other Muslim apologists have committed and upon being proven wrong, you'll be discredited further.

You want me to simplify the question. The question is very simple. The description of the development of the embryo in the Quran is wrong. This is the statement of a fact. The question is if the Quran is from God how it can be so wrong on this point?

My advice for you is that don't even try to answer because you can't. Let us move to another error of the Quran. Let us talk this time about the mathematical errors of the Quran.

You are a computer scientist. So you are familiar with numbers. Here we are talking about the basic fractions that any school kid should be able to add up. There are elemental mathematical errors in the Quran. [Here](#) is my article

[Here](#) is one rebuttal of my article.

Please add anything you want to Mr. Sami Zaatri's rebuttal add and I will respond to of both you. Just note that I had already responded to Mr. Zaatri's argument.

In the Quran there is no priority for the distribution of the inheritance. In nowhere it says "first give to these and from what is left, give to those".

This is the argument of Mr. Zaatri and it is wrong.